

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,737	09/08/2006	Hideaki Sasaki	W1878.0238	9667
32172 DICKSTEIN S	7590 03/27/201 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1633 Broadway			ESSEX, STEPHAN J	
NEW YORK,	NY 10019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1727	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/598,737	SASAKI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
STEPHAN ESSEX	1727			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF TI - Entensors of time may be available under the provisions of 3 7 GPt 1.19(q), in no ex- after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the provision of the provision of the provision of 3 To GPt 1.19(q), in no ex- failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stabulae, cause the aga Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this co- generated partners them adjustment. See 3 TO FR 1.794(b).	HIS COMMUNICATION. ent, however, may a reply be timely filed all expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. All expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is r	non-final.				
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a r	estriction requirement set forth during the interview on				
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.					
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
5)⊠ Claim(s) 15-38 is/are pending in the application.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-38</u> is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.				
Application Papers					
10)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Displacture Statement(s) (PTO-SER3)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/6/2011, 9/14/2006.	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/598,737 Page 2

Art Unit: 1727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 15-17, 20-24, 27-32, 34, 35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkinson et al. (hereinafter "Wilkinson") (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0006534A1) in view of Hibino et al. (hereinafter "Hibino") (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0094002A1).

Regarding claims 15, 17, 22, 24 and 37, Wilkinson teaches an electrochemical fuel cell power generation system comprising a plurality of fuel cell assemblies (fuel cells comprising fuel electrodes) arranged in a fuel cell stack 10, a fuel supply subsystem 38 (vaporized fuel supply section) and a heat transfer liquid supply subsystem 42 (see paragraph 60) wherein a two-phase fuel supply stream comprising a

Art Unit: 1727

heat transfer liquid and a gaseous fuel is directed to the fuel cell assemblies within the fuel cell stack (liquid fuel supply system) (see paragraph 53). The fuel supply subsystem 38 comprises a fuel tank (fuel container) that contains fuel and may additionally comprise a reformer or other fuel processing equipment for producing a gaseous hydrogen-containing stream from a hydrocarbon source (see paragraph 67). The heat transfer liquid subsystem 42 comprises a reservoir containing a heat transfer liquid such as methanol (see paragraphs 20 and 65).

Hibino teaches a gas liquefying and storing system (fuel container) which stores methane dissolved in a hydrocarbon solvent, wherein a storage container 10 comprising a vapor-phase portion 12 (vaporizing chamber; vaporizing section) and a liquid-phase portion 16 (fuel storage chamber; fuel placing section) is furnished with a vapor-phase outlet 14 (fuel gas supply port) for discharging the methane from the vapor-phase portion 12 of the container 10 (supplying a vaporized fuel having a higher concentration than the concentration of the liquid fuel supplied to the fuel electrode) (see paragraphs 160; 161). Hibino teaches that methane stored in a super-critical state by the gas liquefying and storing system described above can be used to supply energy to fuel cells (see paragraph 247). It would have been obvious to utilized the gas liquefying and storing system of Hibino in the fuel cell subsystem of Wilkinson because Hibino teaches that methane may be reformed more efficiently such that a smaller fuel tank is sufficient for storing the methane-bearing butane fuel for supplying a given amount of energy (see paragraphs 248 and 249).

Application/Control Number: 10/598,737

Art Unit: 1727

Regarding claims 16, 23, 29, 31 and 34, Hibino teaches that the vapor-phase outlet 14 (fuel gas supply port) is provided with a vapor-liquid separator 130 (gas liquid separating section) to separate and withdraw an amount of liquid hydrocarbon solvent (see paragraph 300).

Regarding claims 20 and 27, the courts have held that the use of separable construction instead of the structure disclosed in the prior art would merely be a matter of obvious engineering choice. See *In re Dullberg*, 289 F.2d 522, 523, 129 USPQ 348, 349 (CCPA 1961) (see MPEP § 2144.04, C.).

Regarding claims 21, 28, 30, 32 and 35, Wilkinson teaches that a valve 41 (openable and closable shutter member) may be used to shut off the fuel supply stream and/or regulate the amount of fuel supplied to the fuel cell stack 10 (see paragraph 67).

4. Claims 18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkinson in view of Hibino as applied to claims 15-17, 20-24, 27-32, 34, 35 and 37 above, and further in view of Yamauchi et al. (hereinafter "Yamauchi") (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0013928A1).

Regarding claims 18 and 25, Wilkinson and Hibino are silent to the fuel storage chamber and the vaporizing chamber being partitioned by a gas liquid separating film.

Yamauchi teaches a gas liquid separate container (fuel container) which incorporates a partition board 61 for separating a gas compartment 60a (vaporizing chamber) from a liquid compartment 60b (fuel storage chamber), wherein a gas-liquid separation membrane (gas liquid separating film) is disposed in part or whole of the

Application/Control Number: 10/598,737

Art Unit: 1727

partition board **61** (see paragraph 89). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a partition board including a gas-liquid separation membrane in the gas liquefying and storing system of Wilkinson and Hibino because Yamauchi teaches that it prevents the gas and liquid from mixing even when the container is turned upside down (see paragraph 89).

 Claims 19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkinson in view of Hibino as applied to claims 15-17, 20-24, 27-32, 34, 35 and 37 above, and further in view of Mann et al. (hereinafter "Mann") (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0076861A1).

Regarding claims 19 and 26, Wilkinson and Hibino are silent to the fuel being a solidified material of an organic liquid fuel.

Mann teaches a fuel storage area **104** (fuel storage chamber) for storing a fuel containing substance **106** wherein the fuel containing substance is provided with a gelling agent (solidified material of an organic liquid fuel) (see paragraph 25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a gelling agent in the modified fuel supply subsystem of Wilkinson and Hibino because Mann teaches that the gelling agent makes the fuel viscous enough to remain in the fuel storage area without substantial leakage regardless of the orientation of the fuel storage area (see paragraph 25).

Application/Control Number: 10/598,737

Art Unit: 1727

6. Claims 33, 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkinson in view of Hibino as applied to claims 15-17, 20-24, 27-32, 34, 35 and 37 above, and further in view of Fisher et al. (hereinafter "Fisher") (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0190504A1).

Regarding claims 33 and 36, Wilkinson and Hibino are silent to a fuel collecting section and a mixing tank.

Fisher teaches a fuel cell system wherein recycled anode fuel (collecting a residual fuel) flows from fluid flow field 722 (fuel collecting section) to return to a mixing chamber 740 (mixing tank) (see paragraph 100). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the fluid flow field and mixing chamber of Fisher in the modified electrochemical fuel cell power generation system of Wilkinson and Hibino in order to more efficiently consume fuel without waste.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHAN ESSEX whose telephone number is (571)270-7866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:00 EST

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Barbara Gilliam can be reached on (571) 272-1330. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,737 Page 7

Art Unit: 1727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SJE/

/Barbara L. Gilliam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1727